## By C. A. Howes

In the first issue of stamped envelopes, which appeared July 1, 1853, there are five well-marked dies which are, perhaps, more familiar to collectors than those of later issues. These are numthose of later issues. These are numbered in the catalogues instead of being
lettered like the more recent issueslettered like the more recent issues-
why, I cannot say, for Horner lettered Why, I cannot say,
then in his history.


The ontline illustrations show the differences between the dies, which are mainly in the lettered labels. Die 1 is often called the "close die," because of the curved ends of the label inclosing THRIE coming so close to the initial and final letters. Die 2 is the "narrow, straight" die, the ends of the label being straight and near the word THREE. Die 3 is generally known as the " K die." It is very similar to the last, but a short, diagonal line has been drawn in each corner of the label, making octagonal ends rather than " $K$ ends." Die 4 is the "wide, straiglit" die. Not only is the label much wider than any other, but the letters of THREE are much farther apart. The ends are straight like Die 2. Dic 5 is the common one, the label being narrow with curved ends which do not come so close to the word as in the case of Die 1.
Of the 6 cent there was but one die like Die 2, but of the 10 cent there were two, the narrow straight, Die 2, and the wide straight. Die 4.
With the issue of 1860 , with stars at the sides, there is no difficulty as there is but one die of each value, except the 1 cent. The onlv difference between the 1 cent. The onver of the latter is that Die 1 has a period after POSTAGE, while Die 2 has none, and is slightly larger. Both has none, and is sightly larger. Both the 1 cent and the 3 cent however ap-
pear with many minor varieties such as pear with many minor varicties such as are not important enough to notice here.
In the issue of 1861 there are no die varieties except in the 3 -cent value, and these again are of the minor type, though two are distinct enough to have special mention in Mr. Toppan's list. The first one has a broken circle containing the numeral at the left, and the second has a broken circle at the right, which runs into the outside border line of the stamp
When we reach the 2 -cent value of 1863-4, we find a number of differences. The first dies used in 1863 are lettered U. S. POSTAGE, and though there are a number of varieties only two are important enough to list.


The illustration shows the difference between them which is most marked in the figures 2. In February, 1864, new
dies appeared of the same general design, but inscribed U. S. POST. above. Again, there are many varieties due to carelessness in engraving, but these are grouped into two divisions following the width of the stamped impressions. These run from $231 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. to $261 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. The dividing line is taken at 26 mm . ind all impressions having this width or over are assigned to Die 1, while all under 26 mm . are assigned to Die 2
For the other values of the 1804 issue there are only single dies, except for the 3 cent and 0 cent, which are again only minor.
We now come to the most puzzling of all issues for the majority of collectors. These are the so-called "Reay, issue" of 1870, and the "Plimpton issue" of 1874 When G. H. Reay was awarded the conract for the stamped envelope series of 870, he profuced an artistic and beautiuly engraved set of dies in which w find no varicties except a slight differ ence in the form of Franklin's "choker the Post-Office Department as most of us know, runs for four years. At the cud of this period the Plimpton Manafacturing Company of Hartford, Conn.. were the successful competitors. Mr. Reay tried by every means possible to prevent the new parties from carrying out their contract, refusing to deliver the dies to them and even attempting to "buy-up" every competent die sinker that he could obtain. The result was that the Plimpton Coupany brought out that the Prmpton Comp brought out a poorly executed series of copies of the
Reay dies, which are readily told from the latter. Some of them were so poor that several attempts were made to improve them, and hence we have a number of dies of these values which were generally those most used and therefore most hurriedly produced in the first place.
There are three general distinguishing features between the two issucs; first, the line engraving and clear printing of the Reay as against the more poorly. exccuted and generally less clear appearance of the Plimpton; second, the horizontal shading lines which appear embossed without color on the mumerals, or in the case of the 7 cent on the dises containing then, which are usually quite distinct on the Plimpton dies, but generally hardly noticeable on the Reay dies, and when they are distinct on the latter, seem to be finer than the Plimpton; third, the periods after $U$ and $S$ fil the Reay dies are always square, while a majority of the 1,2 and 3 -cent Plimpton dies exhibit periods that are anything but squares.
For other differences between the two issues and the various dies of the Plimpton series we had best take up the issues together, value by value.

## I CENT. 1870:1874


REAY.
PLIMPTON.

1 cent. Reay. Bust evenly placed in oval and end points to N of ONE All the scallops between the lettering and outer frame have dots in them

Plimplon Die A. Bust comes to a corner at the back, very close to the frame. Lettering small and scroll-work very poorly done. - Die B. Bust evenly placed in oval like Reay, and end points line of bust straight.

2 CENT PLIMPTON


2 cent. Reay. Well-formed thick numerals in circles.
Plimpton Die A. Poorly formed thin numerals in circles; no ball at bottom of $?$.
oarals. Die B. Thick numerals oums: O in TWO has a solid conter of color.
ich Dic B2. A varicty of B it touches the oval.

- B in Die B3. Another variety f $B$ in which the center of the $O$ in TWO has a couple of crossed lines in it.
——Dic C. The tail of the 2 s entircly clear of the down stroke, while in the previous dies it is connected with the down stroke for some distance above the "ball" numerals in ovals. This is the common die of the red $?$ cent.

Die D. Numerals simitar o C, but bust is cut away in a pro nounced are of a small circle thomeg nearer a quarter-circle than a semicircle as usually described.

## 3 CENT PLIMPTON

## (3)

DIE A


3 cent. Reay. Thick numerals in circles: (all neals in Plimpton).
Ilimpton. Die A. Tall, thin unmerals in targe ovals; small lettering.

Die B. Shorter, thick mutmerals in smaller ovals; large ettering; base of bust $1 / 2 \mathrm{~mm}$. from hottom of franie.

年 Simiar to B but kot of quene quite prominent.

## 6 CENT. 1870:1874



6 cent. Reay. Neck Jong at the back Plimplon. Neck short at the back attd hair comes over the forchead in a hook-upside down.

## 7 CENT. 1870:1874

## 72

rieay plinfton
7 cent. Reay. The figures 7 do not curve up at the botloni.

Plimpton. The figures have an upward curve at the bottom forming a hook.

10 CENT. $1870 \quad 1874$


REAY
PLimbiun
10 cept. Reay. Knot of queue alnost unnoliccable.
Plimpton. Die $\Lambda$. Known as the "Booby dic." The head is very
large, filling up the oval and coming sery close to the frame. Reay we but the thot of the queu stands out guite prominently.
12 cent. Reay. G of POSTAGE has no cross har; point of bust cut off square.

Plimpton. G of POSTAGE has a cross batr; point of bust is ombled
1.) cent. Reay. Head shows side whisters.
Plimpton. No side whiskersonly a single lock of hair in front of car.

24 CENT 1810:1874


24 cent. Reay. Ornamems following. border of oval end in little squares. Plimpton. The ornaments and in lines.
30 cent. Reay. $\Lambda$ straight edge placed against both bottoms of the tahels containing mumerals will show them to be parallel
Plimpton. A straight edge placed in the same manner will show plainly that the labels are not parallel.

reay. plimpton.
(1) cent. Reay. The upper corners of the shielts containing the mumerals stop practically at the edge of the oval crantaining the head.
f'limpton. The corners of the shictlds project noticeally into the oval, making a good hook.

5 CENT 1875.
5 5

DIE A. DIE B.

In 1875 a new value was engraved by the Plimpton Company, viz., fi cents, which comes in two dies. Their disthetive features is the shape of the numeral which in Dic A has a thick "flag." and in Die B a thin one. There is a third intermediate variety of numeral which is not listed in the Standard catalogite.
The Centennial envelopes are known to all. They were struck from two dies, ane at the Hartford works of the Ilimpton Company and the other in lhe Government Butiding at the Exposition. The latter, contmonly called the Philadelphia Die, was damayed, and Phinate pliag be, was damased, anct shows a doulble or split white line be-
neath POSTAGE, where the Hartford neath POSTAGE, where the
Die shows lout a single one.
We have now deseribed the varieties up) to the time when letter postage was reduced to 2 cents on Octobier 1, 1883 . For this event a new 2 -cent die was engraved of the same keneral designas the 3 -cemt Die B which was in use so long. The large colored letters on the engine turned gromed will distinguishs it from the succeeding 2 -eent elies. It is generally known as the "October Dic."
it the same time a 4 eent envelope was issued, but in a much neater and leettercongrased design. There are two dies of this, differing mainly in the mumerals, those of loic $\Lambda$ measuring $20 / 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ actoss their widest part, and those of Dic 1 measuring $31 / 4 \mathrm{~mm}$. The former also come to a point at the left angle of the left figure 4, while the latter are bhanterl there.
The e-cent die was unsatisfactory: and in November a new one appeared of the same general design as the 4 cont.

Between the labels containing the lettering and the white frame lines will be fonnd four wavy lines-two next the outer frame line and two next the inner onc-which serves as its distinguishing feature. This is called the November die, but it did not prove much better that the previous one. The impressions were printed in red until May, 1884, when the color was changed to a muddy brown. This ink did not print as well and the dies deteriorated under its use much faster than before. The result was that in Junc the impressions showed the dies had been retouched, the white lines of the design having been thickened and the whole design having a coarse and blotched appearance which is very noticeable-in fact, more resembing the coarse impressions of the next (July) die than the original November die. Some of the differences, however are so marked that they must be ascribed to different dies though they are only listed as varieties of the "June" are "retouclied" dic, as it is called; yet or "retouclice dic, as it is called, yet they are as worthy of being given a ent dies of the 2 -cent Plimpton, for instance.
There are three of these varieties, viz.: the "Two-link" variety, which has only two diamonds or "links" between the right oval containing the figure and hee end of the label beneath, instead of wo and a half as in the normal design; the " $31 / 2$-link" variety, which has this number of diamonds between the left oval and the end of the label ahove it, instead of $21 / 2$ as in the normal design; and the "round-O" variety in which the $O$ in TWO is a perfect circle.
There is one variety known as the "spread die," which seems to be a hybrid hetween the November and the retouched dies, as the left half of design shows the evidences of retouching in the wider lines and larger figures, while the right half has not been altered.

2 CENT. JULY IB84.


In July, 1884, another design appeared, which followed the last one very closcly, but is distinguished by having only one wavy line between the inseribed dabels and the white frame lines. The white lines of the design are also heavier than the November (1883) dic which is the reason the retouched (June) die somewhat resembles it. This is known as the "Kellogg Dic," and it became very familiar and very monnonous to ats all during its thirteen years of service. There are two varictics, Dic $\Lambda$ having the bust come to a point below the queue and Die $B$ having it sounded the queue and Die $B$ having it rounded
off there, which makes the bust a little off there, which ma
We now come to the issute of 1887, in which the miniform design, adopted for the four common values, is known as the "Tiffany Die." In the 1 cent there are two well-marked dies, which are not motert in the Standard cataloguc. as well as several minor varieties. These two ties are distinguished $A$, by a larger bust inclined forward, no cross-bar on $C_{f}$ of POSTAGE, and the side ornaments $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$. Iong; B, by a smaller but more upright, $G$ has the cross-bar, and the orniments only 3 mm . long. The litter is the common one.

2 CENT, 1887


DIE A


DIE 8

The 2 cents also has two wellomarked dies. Dic $\Lambda$, conmonly known as the rejected he, has the point of the bust directed loward the third white tooth of he moner serrated line. (In the draw ing the tecth are represented in black for the drawing shows the design and the latter is given by the white lines in the solid color of the stamped impression.) There is no cross-bar to the $G$ of POSTAGE. Die B has the point of of POSTAGE. Die $B$ has the point of white looth of the inner serrated line, white lootly of the inner serrated line,
which does not tilt the llead back as which does not tilt the head back as
before, and the $G$ now has a cross-bar. before, and the $G$ now has a cross-bar. There are several minor varieties, but calpped 2 " variety, where the figure has a white cap very similar to that found on the capped varieties of the 2 -cent adhesive of 1890 .

## 5 CENT. 1887.



The 5 -cent had but one die until 1894 when Pureell of Holyoke, had the contract. A new die then appeared which was distinguished from the previous one by the fact that there appeared to be no neck to the bust in front. Die A shows the neek between the coat and the chin, and also a button on the lapel in front of the shoulter strap. Die B has no bution, and the coat comes directly up to the chin. This is called the "Holyoke Die."

In the meantime the Columbian series was issucd, and in this desigu we find four varieties, though they are not listed in the Stanclard cataloguc. As they are puite plain we give them in case any one is interested.
Var. 1. Period after CENTS; meridianl lack of Columbus' head.
Var. 2. Period after CENTS; no meridian back of Columbus' head. Var. 3. No period after CENTS; meridian lack of Columbus' head.
Var. 4: No period after CENTS no meridian lack of Columbus' head. The 1 -cent occurs in varictics 1,2,3; the 2 -cent and 5 -cent in all four varicties; the 10 -cent in varicty 3 only.

## 2 CENT


Die $A$.
DIE B.

We now come to the current issue, which made its appearance in 1890 . In the 2 -cent value we again find a "rejected die." This time, instead of being tipped too far back, the bust was tipped forward, so that in Die A the point of the bust comes down almost to the left upper corner of the shield containing 1he figure. In Die B the point of the bust is sharper and is directed between the first and second white teeth of the inner serrated line. (Sce remarks concerning the drawing under the 2 -cent of 1887.)

The 4 -cents has three dics which can le as well described as illustrated. Die A has a long, thin neck, with the "Adam's apple" showing; there is no draping in the bust, which ends in a point. Die B has a shorter, thicker neck, with no "Adam's apple," and the hust has draping on it with something in front resembling a necktic; the bust is not pointed, but blunt. Dic $C$ is unt mistakable, as the head is large instead of quite small as in the other two, and there is no inner serrated line at alt, thus departing from the original design.

